## Using Service Indicators to Improve Efficiency



## North Carolina's Experience

Derek Graham, NCDPI John Chesser, UNC Charlotte


## Background

- State funding for pupil transportation
- 90+ \% state-funded
- Districts can't raise revenue
- Subject to county commissioners
- Early 1980s - State Energy Office wanted to save fuel
- Evolved into statewide contract for routing software
- NC's TIMS program
- Transportation Information Management System
- Training, implementation through university staff
- Funding Formula
- Incentive for Efficiency
- Budget ratings ( $95 \%=95 \%$ of eligible expenses paid by state)


## Gathering Operational Data

- State reporting -TDTIMS
- District certifies that all routing data up to date
- Routing data submitted to state office
- Funding decision-making


# Transportation Funding Formula 3 Basic Steps 

I. Determine Funding Base
2. Determine Budget Rating
3. Multiply (1) $\times(2)$

Linear Regression to Level the Playing Field

## Site Characteristics Used in Funding

|  | Urban District $X$ | Rural District Y | State <br> Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil Density | 17.1 <br> students per road mile | 5.4 <br> students per road mile | 6.2 <br> students per road mile |
| Avg Distance to <br> School | 4.5 miles | 7.8 miles | 5.27 miles |
| Circuity <br> (ratio of actual to <br> crow-flight) | 1.47 | 2.68 | 1.42 |

## Unadjusted Bus Efficiency

Buses - 3rd Quartile Distance to School


## Bus Efficiency Adjusted for Distance to School 3rd Quartile

Bus Efficiency Adjusted for Distance 3rd Quartile


## Buses Operated - 1974-2002



## Annual Miles per Student 1970-2002



## Concern: Service Delivery vs. Efficiency

- Evidence this may be taking place
- Student enrollment up I2\%
- Transported students up 5 1/2\%
- Active buses up 5\%
- Transported students as \% of all students:
- $-6.2 \%$
- Bus capacity utilization: essentially no change
- Miles (per bus) up I3\%
- Longer ride times as other options disappear?


## Conflicting Objectives



## Efficiency

- Save fuel - high cost / gallon
- Increase walking distance - SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS!!
- Improve budget rating


## Service

- Stops located close to students
- Improved safety
- More buses, shorter ride time


## Service Indicators

- Concept suggested by consultants reviewing the funding formula
- Concern that state's push for efficiency had come at the expense of service delivery at the local level
- Derek created current list of Indicators
- More could be added in the future


## Indicators - First Year

- Student Ride Time
- Fleet Use
- Early Pickup Times
- School Bell Times


## Average AM Ride Times

- Include all the time a student is on a bus.
- Sum the time on legs of transfers.
- Affected by anything that causes incorrect times on runs
- Bad stop locations
- Bad run directions
- Incorrect ell times


## Student Ride Time by District

TIMS 2006-2007 Service Indicators: Student Ride Time


## Fleet Use and Double Runs

- The average number of runs per route gives an indication of how many times during the morning or afternoon that a district uses their buses
- Double runs are those that use a bus to serve the same school more than once


## Fleet Use by District

## TIMS 2006-2007 Service Indicators: Fleet Use and Double Runs



## Earliest AM Pickup

## TIMS 2006-2007 Service Indicators: Earliest AM Pickup Time



## School Bell Times

- First AM bell time is the earliest AM bell time in the school district
- Last AM bell time is the latest bell time in the district
- Zero minutes difference indicates that all AM bell times are the same throughout the district


## School Bell Times

## TIMS 2006-2007 Service Indicators: School Bell Times



Source: North Carolina LEAs 2006-2007
Legend: ColorBrewer
Notes:
Earliest pickup time reflects earliest default program, regular or special needs, stop time on an active route.

## Preliminary Goal

- Best and most efficient use of resources
- Safest and best service possible
- Identify good models of low cost/high service provision


## Statewide Indicators - Changes So Far

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ave Stu. Ride Time AM | $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$. | $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{~ m i n} \downarrow$ |
| Ave Dist. To Sch (riders only) | 4.33 miles | 4.37 miles $\uparrow$ |
| Ave Dist to Sch (all students) | 4.19 miles | 4.20 miles $\uparrow$ |
| Ave \# PM Runs/Rte | 1.59 | $1.62 \uparrow$ |
| Rtes w/2+ Runs | $54.63 \%$ | $46.38 \% \downarrow$ |
| Rtes w/multi. PM Runs to same Sch | $\mathbf{6 . 6 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 9 \%} \uparrow$ |
| Range of Morning Bell Times | $41.8 \mathbf{m i n}$. | 46.4 min $\uparrow$ |

- Bold are best service indicators with statewide numbers
- Morning pickup time is not averaged

Note:Arrows indicate change from last year. Color indicates impact on service, green arrow is positive for service, red is negative. . Double_arrow is unchanged from last year. ( $\uparrow \ldots \leftrightarrow \downarrow$ )

## Indicator - Student Ride Time

| Student Ride Time | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| LEAs Shorter Ride Time | $58 \downarrow$ |
| LEAs Longer Ride Time | $32 \uparrow$ |
| LEAs Unchanged | $23 \leftrightarrow$ |

- Statewide change:


## Student Ride Time 2006-07 to 2007-08

- 25 minutes to 24 minutes
- A majority of districts have improved on this measure since last year


Note:Arrows indicate change from last year. Color indicates impact on service, green arrow is positive for service, red is negative. Double_arrow is unchanged from last year. ( $\uparrow \ldots \_\downarrow$ )

## Indicator - Multi Runs to Same School

| \% Multi Runs PM | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| LEAs with decreased use | $9 \downarrow$ |
| LEAs with increased use | $63 \uparrow$ |
| LEAs Unchanged | $39 \leftrightarrow$ |

- Statewide change:
- 6.68 \% to $8.49 \%$
- A majority of districts have gotten worse in this measure from last year
- 9 LEAs began using multi runs that did not last year
- 4 LEAs discontinued this practice


## Earliest Pickup 2006-07 to 2007-08



Note: Symbols indicate change from last year. Color indicates impact on service, green is positive for service, red is negative. Double arrow is unchanged from last year. $(\uparrow, \downarrow, \leftrightarrows)$

## Indicator - Morning Pickup

| Earliest Pickup | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| LEAs later pickup | 63 |
| LEAs earlier pickup | $42(-)$ |
| LEAs unchanged | $7 \leftrightarrow$ |

- This indicator does not have a statewide average
- A majority of districts have improved on this measure since last year

Earliest Pickup
2006-07 to 2007-08


Note: Symbols indicate change from last year. Color indicates impact on service, green is positive for service, red is negative. - Double arrow is unchanged from-last year. $(-(-),-+, \leftrightarrow-)$

## Indicator - Range of Bell Times

| Earliest Pickup | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| LEAs Range increased | 23 |
| LEAs Earlier pickup | 11 |
| LEAs Unchanged | 73 |

- Statewide change:
- Average bell range increased from 41.8 minutes to 46.4 minutes
- LEAs with zero range decreased from 7 to 5
- Reflects operations changes and flexibility, not a direct positive or negative service indicator


## Range of Bell Times 2006-07 to 2007-08



## Distribution of AM Ride Time by District

AM Ride Time: LEA Average


## Ave of Longest 5\% Student Ride Times

Average of Longest 5\% Student Ride Times


## Ave Student to Stop Distance

Average Student-to-Stop Distance


## Service - Multiple Runs in PM

Multiple Runs from Same School PM : LEA Percentage


## Distribution of Earliest AM Pickup

Morning Pickup Times: LEA Earliest


## What We Do with These Data in NC

- Operational questions asked/answered
- Funding questions
- Legislature’s requests - Funding Formula Study


## Legislator Concerns

- Ride Times
- Pre-existing base - reshuffling the deck?
- Are extreme ride times to traditional programs or special programs (EC, alternative, etc?)


## Legislator Concerns

Interest in extreme ride times raises the issue of service

## Using these Data to Start Gathering in Your District

- Show operational advantages of having data
- Explore what your computer systems may already be able to produce


## NC Data as a Resource in Your District

- Current reports available on-line
- Planned interactive reporting


## Wide Range of Operations in NC

- Range of system sizes and operational statistics
- Range of smallest to largest NC operations
- Average size
- Lots of options that could compare to your district


## Using Service Indicators to Improve Efficiency
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